

2024 RELEASE

*Essentials of*  
**NEGOTIATION**



**Mc  
Graw  
Hill**

**Roy J. Lewicki**  
**Bruce Barry**  
**David M. Saunders**

# Essentials of Negotiation

*2024 Release*

**Roy J. Lewicki**  
*The Ohio State University*

**Bruce Barry**  
*Vanderbilt University*

**David M. Saunders**  
*McGill University*

**Mc  
Graw  
Hill**



ESSENTIALS OF NEGOTIATION, 2024 RELEASE

Published by McGraw Hill LLC, 1325 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019. Copyright ©2024 by McGraw Hill LLC. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Previous editions ©2021, 2016, and 2011. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of McGraw Hill LLC, including, but not limited to, in any network or other electronic storage or transmission, or broadcast for distance learning.

Some ancillaries, including electronic and print components, may not be available to customers outside the United States.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LCR 29 28 27 26 25 24

ISBN 978-1-266-63493-2 (bound)

MHID 1-266-63493-2 (bound)

ISBN 978-1-265-59794-8 (loose-leaf)

MHID 1-265-59794-4 (loose-leaf)

Associate Portfolio Manager: *Angie Petit Lichter*

Lead/Senior Content Project Managers: *Melissa Leick/Laura Payne*

Manufacturing Project Manager: *Sandy Ludovissy*

Content Licensing Specialist: *Gina Oberbroeckling*

Cover Image: *Alexey Kashin/Shutterstock*

Compositor: *Aptara®, Inc.*

All credits appearing on page or at the end of the book are considered to be an extension of the copyright page.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Names: Lewicki, Roy J., author. | Barry, Bruce, 1958- author. | Saunders, David M., author.

Title: Essentials of Negotiation / Roy J. Lewicki, The Ohio State University; Bruce Barry,

Vanderbilt University; David M. Saunders, McGill University.

Description: 2024 Release | New York, NY : McGraw Hill LLC, [2024] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023034497 (print) | LCCN 2023034498 (ebook) | ISBN 9781266634932 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781265597948 (spiral bound) | ISBN 9781265597726 (ebook) | ISBN 9781265594916 (ebook other)

Classification: LCC HD58.6 .L487 2023 (print) | LCC HD58.6 (ebook) | DDC 658.4/052-4c23/eng/20230802

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023034497>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023034498>

The Internet addresses listed in the text were accurate at the time of publication. The inclusion of a website does not indicate an endorsement by the authors or McGraw Hill LLC, and McGraw Hill LLC does not guarantee the accuracy of the information presented at these sites.

# Dedication

*We dedicate this book to all negotiation, mediation, and dispute resolution professionals who try to make the world a more peaceful and prosperous place.*

*And to John W. Minton (1946-2007): friend, colleague, and co-author.*

## About the Authors

---

**Roy J. Lewicki** is the Irving Abramowitz Memorial Professor of Business Ethics Emeritus and Professor of Management and Human Resources Emeritus at the Max M. Fisher College of Business, The Ohio State University. He has authored or edited over 40 books, as well as numerous research articles and book chapters. Professor Lewicki has served as the president of the International Association for Conflict Management, and he received its Lifetime Achievement Award in 2013. He received the Academy of Management's Distinguished Educator Award in 2005 and has been recognized as a Fellow of the International Association of Conflict Management, the Academy of Management, and the Organizational Behavior Teaching Society for his contributions to the fields of teaching and research in negotiation and dispute resolution.

**Bruce Barry** is the Brownlee O. Currey Jr. Professor of Management at the Owen Graduate School of Management at Vanderbilt University. His research on negotiation, ethics, power, influence, and justice has appeared in numerous scholarly journals and volumes. Professor Barry is a past president of the International Association for Conflict Management and a past chair of the Academy of Management Conflict Management Division. He is a recent past editor in chief of the scholarly journal *Business Ethics Quarterly* and sits on the editorial boards of two others. He has been recognized as a Fellow of the International Association of Conflict Management.

**David M. Saunders** is currently Professor (Administration) and Director, International at Desautels Faculty of Management, McGill University. He has over 20 years of experience as Dean, leading two business schools to the prestigious *Financial Times* ranking, and driving the schools' globalization and strategic growth. David has created more than 100 strategic partnerships around the globe. He has served on the board of CEIBS (China), and the International Advisory Boards of SKEMA (France) and Schaffhausen Institute of Technology (Switzerland). David has also forged exclusive strategic relationships with both private (e.g., IBM) and public sector (e.g., The Canadian Olympic Committee) organizations to provide tailored business education to their needs. He served as Chair of the EQUIS Awarding Body and Vice-Chair of the AACSB Initial Accreditation Committee, as well as a board member of both EFMD and AACSB.

Welcome to the 2024 Release of *Essentials of Negotiation*! Again, this book represents our response to many faculty who wanted a brief version of the longer text, *Negotiation* (Ninth Edition). The objective of this shorter version is to provide the reader with the core concepts of negotiation in a more succinct presentation. Many faculty requested such a book for use in shorter academic courses, executive education programs, or as a companion to other resource materials. It is suitable for courses in negotiation, labor relations, conflict management, human resource management, and the like.

## Overview of This Book

The organization of this volume generally follows the more complete Ninth Edition of *Negotiation*. The fundamental difference between this and the Ninth Edition text is that this book contains only 12 chapters, while the complete Ninth Edition contains 20 chapters. The first four chapters have only been minimally shortened for this volume, because we believe that the content is essential to any negotiation course. (The shortening process includes editing out some of the more research-oriented references and descriptions, deleting many of the boxes and sidebars, and occasionally some secondary sections.) Similarly, the last chapter is reproduced in full. The other seven chapters from *Negotiation*, have been included, but shortened by 25–50 percent each.

For the instructor who is not familiar with *Essentials* (the first seven editions) or *Negotiation* (Ninth or earlier editions), a brief overview is in order. The first five chapters introduce the reader to “Negotiation Fundamentals.” The first chapter introduces the field of negotiation and conflict management, describes the basic problem of interdependence with other people, and briefly explores the challenges of managing that interdependence. Chapters 2 and 3 then present the two core strategic approaches to negotiation: the basic dynamics of competitive (win-lose) bargaining (Chapter 2) and the basic dynamics of integrative (win-win) negotiation (Chapter 3). Chapter 4 describes the fundamental prework that negotiators must do to get ready for a negotiation: selecting the strategy, framing the issues, defining negotiation objectives, and planning the steps one will pursue to achieve those objectives. In Chapter 5, we examine the ethical standards and criteria that surround negotiation. The effective negotiator must recognize when ethical questions are relevant and what factors must be considered to address them effectively.

The next three chapters describe the fundamental psychological subprocesses of negotiation: perception, cognition, and emotion; communication; and power. In Chapter 6, we review the basic processes of perception, cognition, and emotion in negotiation. We specifically examine common cognitive and judgment biases made by negotiators and how emotion can affect negotiations. In Chapter 7, we examine communication dynamics. We look at the ways that negotiators communicate their interests, positions, and goals and how this information is communicated to the other. Chapter 8 focuses on power and influence. We look at the capabilities negotiators can muster power to pressure the other side, so as to change his or her perspective or give in to our arguments. This chapter also integrates a chapter on influence from the larger text; we believe that these two chapters belong together to fully understand how power is derived and how it can be exercised.

The next two chapters examine the social contexts in which these negotiations occur and influence how they evolve. In Chapter 9, we examine how the negotiation process changes when the parties have an established relationship with each other and how the type of relationship affects the negotiation process. We also examine the key roles played by trust, justice, and negotiator reputation in shaping negotiations. In Chapter 10, we look at

multiparty negotiations, when multiple individuals must work together as a group, team, or task force to solve a complex problem or make a decision.

In Chapter 11, we attempt to clarify how international and cross-cultural differences can shape the diverse ways that parties approach negotiations.

Finally, in Chapter 12, we present a new concluding chapter, summarizing the book's content and offering ten best practices principles for all negotiators.

## **Comparison of This Book to the Seventh Edition of *Essentials***

Edits and updates have been made in all chapters to improve clarity and ensure the content is current, and new research findings have been included in most chapters as well. The references have been updated throughout, and the following list highlights some of the changes made for the 2024 Release.

### **Chapter 2:**

- New discussion of the role of phantom anchors in negotiation
- New discussion of the role of external cues in negotiation
- New paragraph added on decreasing concessions vs. single large concessions

### **Chapter 3:**

- New box: Finding the Collective Goal
- New box: Identifying the Problem at Acme Electronics Plant

### **Chapter 5:**

- New discussion of the distinction between bluffs and lies
- New discussion of ethical fading (losing sight of the ethics of a situation)
- New cartoon added

### **Chapter 6:**

- New text added to the discussions of framing, cognitive biases, and emotions

### **Chapter 7:**

- New subsection on Negotiating via Email
- New subsection on Negotiating via Texting
- New subsection on Negotiating via Videoconference

### **Chapter 8:**

- Chapter has been retitled as Power and Influence in Negotiation
- New section and discussion added: Influence: Power in Action
- New section and discussion added: Two Routes to Influence: An Organizing Model
- New section and discussion added: The Central Route to Influence: The Message and Its Delivery
- New section and discussion added: Peripheral Routes to Influence
- New box added: If a Message Is Believable, Does That Make the Source Credible?
- New section and discussion added on The Role of Receivers—Targets of Influence
- New subsection on Social Capital

### **Chapter 9:**

- New box: Building Relationships as a Negotiation Strategy
-

**Chapter 11:**

- New subsection on Cultural Syndromes and Logics
- New subsection on Cultural Tightness-Looseness
- New table: Tightness-Looseness Scores of 33 Nations

The authors have published a book of readings and classroom activities, *Negotiation: Readings, Exercises and Cases*, Seventh Edition, edited by Roy Lewicki, Bruce Barry, and David Saunders. This book has not been revised, but all of the readings, role plays, cases, and questionnaires are still available online. Adopters of *Essentials* can purchase these activities individually or package them together with chapters from *Negotiation* or *Essentials of Negotiation*. Consult McGraw Hill's *Create* services for more information.

**Instructional resources, including a test bank, chapter outlines, PowerPoint slides, a list of role plays, cases, and questionnaires to accompany each chapter, and extensive assistance on ways that new instructors can improve their teaching of negotiation skills, are available to accompany this volume. Instructors should contact their McGraw Hill representative for access to these instructional resources.**

**Proctorio****Remote Proctoring & Browser-Locking Capabilities**

Remote proctoring and browser-locking capabilities, hosted by Proctorio within Connect, provide control of the assessment environment by enabling security options and verifying the identity of the student.

Seamlessly integrated within Connect, these services allow instructors to control the assessment experience by verifying identification, restricting browser activity, and monitoring student actions.

Instant and detailed reporting gives instructors an at-a-glance view of potential academic integrity concerns, thereby avoiding personal bias and supporting evidence-based claims.


**ReadAnywhere® App**

Read or study when it's convenient with McGraw Hill's free ReadAnywhere® app. Available for iOS and Android smartphones or tablets, give users access to McGraw Hill tools including the eBook and SmartBook® or Adaptive Learning Assignments in McGraw Hill Connect®. Students can take notes, highlight, and complete assignments offline—all their work will sync when connected to Wi-Fi. Students log in with their Connect username and password to start learning—anytime, anywhere!

**OLC-Aligned Courses  
Implementing High-Quality Instruction and Assessment through Preconfigured Courseware**

In consultation with the Online Learning Consortium (OLC) and our certified Faculty Consultants, McGraw Hill has created preconfigured courseware using OLC's quality scorecard to align with best practices in online course delivery. This turnkey courseware contains a



combination of formative assessments, summative assessments, homework, and application activities, and can easily be customized to meet an individual instructor's needs and desired course outcomes. For more information, visit <https://www.mheducation.com/highered/olc>.

### **Test Builder in Connect**

Available within McGraw Hill Connect®, Test Builder is a cloud-based tool that enables instructors to format tests that can be printed, administered within a Learning Management System, or exported as a Word document. Test Builder offers a modern, streamlined interface for easy content configuration that matches course needs, without requiring a download.

Test Builder allows you to:

- access all test bank content from a particular title.
- easily pinpoint the most relevant content through robust filtering options.
- manipulate the order of questions or scramble questions and/or answers.
- pin questions to a specific location within a test.
- determine your preferred treatment of algorithmic questions.
- choose the layout and spacing.
- add instructions and configure default settings.

Test Builder provides a secure interface for better protection of content and allows for just-in-time updates to flow directly into assessments.

### **Writing Assignment**

Available within McGraw Hill Connect®, the Writing Assignment tool delivers a learning experience to help students improve written communication skills and conceptual understanding. Assign, monitor, grade, and provide feedback on writing more efficiently and effectively.

### **Application-Based Activities in McGraw Hill Connect®**

Prepare students for the real world with Application-Based Activities in Connect. These highly interactive, assignable exercises boost engagement and provide a safe space to apply concepts learned to real-world, course-specific problems. Each Application-Based Activity involves the application of multiple concepts, providing the ability to synthesize information and use critical thinking skills to solve realistic scenarios.

### **Polling**

Every learner has unique needs. Uncover where and when you're needed with the new Polling tool in McGraw Hill Connect®! Polling allows you to discover where students are in real time. Engage students and help them create connections with your course content while gaining valuable insight during lectures. Leverage polling data to deliver personalized instruction when and where it is needed most.

### **Evergreen**

Content and technology are ever-changing, and it is important that you can keep your course up to date with the latest information and assessments. That's why we want to deliver the most current and relevant content for your course, hassle-free.

*Lewicki Essentials of Negotiation* is moving to an Evergreen delivery model, which means it has content, tools, and technology that are updated and relevant, with updates

delivered directly to your existing McGraw Hill Connect® course. Engage students and freshen up assignments with up-to-date coverage of select topics and assessments, all without having to switch editions or build a new course.

## Create

### Your Book, Your Way

McGraw Hill's Content Collections Powered by Create® is a self-service website that enables instructors to create custom course materials—print and eBooks—by drawing upon McGraw Hill's comprehensive, cross-disciplinary content. Choose what you want from our high-quality textbooks, digital products, articles, cases, and more. Combine it with your own content quickly and easily, and tap into other rights-secured, third-party content such as cases, articles, readings, cartoons, and labs. Content can be arranged in a way that makes the most sense for your course, and you can select your own cover and include the course name and school information as well. Choose the best format for your course: color print, black-and-white print, or eBook. The eBook can be included in your Connect course and is available on the free ReadAnywhere® app for smartphone or tablet access as well. When you are finished customizing, you will receive a free digital copy to review in just minutes! Visit McGraw Hill Create®—[www.mcgrawhillcreate.com](http://www.mcgrawhillcreate.com)—today and begin building!

**Introducing McGraw Hill Create™ ExpressBooks!** *ExpressBooks* contain a combination of preselected chapters, articles, cases, or readings that serve as a starting point to help you quickly and easily build your own text through McGraw Hill's self-service custom publishing website, *Create*. These helpful templates are built using content available on *Create* and organized in ways that match various course outlines across all disciplines. We understand that you have a unique perspective. Use McGraw Hill *Create ExpressBooks* to build the book you've only imagined! [www.mcgrawhillcreate.com](http://www.mcgrawhillcreate.com)

## Appreciation

Once again, this book could not have been completed without the assistance of numerous people. We especially thank

- Many of our colleagues in the negotiation and dispute resolution field, whose research efforts have made the growth of this field possible and who have given us helpful feedback about earlier editions to improve the content of this edition.
- The following individuals who reviewed the text and offered their helpful feedback.
- The work of John Minton, who helped shape the second, third, and fourth editions of this book and passed away in the Fall of 2007.
- The staff of McGraw Hill Education, especially associate portfolio manager, Angie Petit Lichter; product developer, Kailey Walters; portfolio director, Management, Michael Ablassmeir; and marketing manager, Debbie Clare.
- Our families, who continue to provide us with the time, inspiration, and opportunities for continued learning about effective negotiation and the personal support required to sustain this project.

Roy J. Lewicki


Bruce Barry

David M. Saunders

## A complete course platform

Connect enables you to build deeper connections with your students through cohesive digital content and tools, creating engaging learning experiences. We are committed to providing you with the right resources and tools to support all your students along their personal learning journeys.

**65%**  
Less Time  
Grading



Laptop: Getty Images. Woman/dog: George Doyle/Getty Images

## Every learner is unique

In Connect, instructors can assign an adaptive reading experience with SmartBook<sup>®</sup> 2.0. Rooted in advanced learning science principles, SmartBook 2.0 delivers each student a personalized experience, focusing students on their learning gaps, ensuring that the time they spend studying is time well spent.

[mheducation.com/highered/connect/smartbook](http://mheducation.com/highered/connect/smartbook)

## Study anytime, anywhere

Encourage your students to download the free ReadAnywhere<sup>®</sup> app so they can access their online eBook, SmartBook<sup>®</sup> 2.0, or Adaptive Learning Assignments when it's convenient, even when they're offline. And since the app automatically syncs with their Connect account, all of their work is available every time they open it. Find out more at [mheducation.com/readanywhere](http://mheducation.com/readanywhere)

***"I really liked this app—it made it easy to study when you don't have your textbook in front of you."***

Jordan Cunningham, a student at Eastern Washington University

## Effective tools for efficient studying

Connect is designed to help students be more productive with simple, flexible, intuitive tools that maximize study time and meet students' individual learning needs. Get learning that works for everyone with Connect.

**SUPPORT** <sup>AT</sup>  
*every step*

## Education for all

McGraw Hill works directly with Accessibility Services departments and faculty to meet the learning needs of all students. Please contact your Accessibility Services Office, and ask them to email [accessibility@mheducation.com](mailto:accessibility@mheducation.com), or visit [mheducation.com/about/accessibility](http://mheducation.com/about/accessibility) for more information.

### Affordable solutions, added value

Make technology work for you with LMS integration for single sign-on access, mobile access to the digital textbook, and reports to quickly show you how each of your students is doing. And with our Inclusive Access program, you can provide all these tools at the lowest available market price to your students. Ask your McGraw Hill representative for more information.

### Solutions for your challenges

A product isn't a solution. Real solutions are affordable, reliable, and come with training and ongoing support when you need it and how you want it. Visit [supportateverystep.com](http://supportateverystep.com) for videos and resources both you and your students can use throughout the term.



### Updated and relevant content

Our new Evergreen delivery model provides the most current and relevant content for your course, hassle-free. Content, tools, and technology updates are delivered directly to your existing McGraw Hill Connect<sup>•</sup> course. Engage students and freshen up assignments with up-to-date coverage of select topics and assessments, all without having to switch editions or build a new course.

# Contents in Brief

---

1. The Nature of Negotiation 1
2. Strategy and Tactics of Distributive Bargaining 26
3. Strategy and Tactics of Integrative Negotiation 59
4. Negotiation: Strategy and Planning 87
5. Ethics in Negotiation 112
6. Perception, Cognition, and Emotion 136
7. Communication 162
8. Power and Influence in Negotiation 179
9. Relationships in Negotiation 213
10. Multiple Parties and Groups in Negotiations 231
11. International and Cross–Cultural Negotiation 253
12. Best Practices in Negotiations 285

*Bibliography* 295

*Index* 324

# Contents

## Chapter 1

### **The Nature of Negotiation 1**

A Few Words about Our Style and Approach 3

Luis and Tracy Catalan 4

Characteristics of a Negotiation Situation 6

Interdependence 9

*Types of Interdependence Affect Outcomes 10*

*Alternatives Shape Interdependence 10*

Mutual Adjustment 10

*Mutual Adjustment and Concession Making 12*

*Two Dilemmas in Mutual Adjustment 12*

Value Claiming and Value Creation 14

Conflict 16

*Definitions 16*

*Levels of Conflict 17*

*Functions and Dysfunctions of Conflict 17*

*Factors That Make Conflict Easy or Difficult to Manage 19*

Effective Conflict Management 19

Overview of the Chapters in This Book 22

Endnotes 24

## Chapter 2

### **Strategy and Tactics of Distributive Bargaining 26**

The Distributive Bargaining Situation 27

*The Role of Alternatives to a Negotiated Agreement 29*

*Settlement Point 31*

*Discovering the Other Party's Resistance Point 31*

*Influencing the Other Party's Resistance Point 31*

Tactical Tasks 33

*Assess the Other Party's Target, Resistance Point, and Costs of Terminating Negotiations 33*

*Manage the Other Party's Impressions of Your Target, Resistance Point, and Cost of Terminating Negotiations 36*

*Modify the Other Party's Perceptions of Their Target, Resistance Point, and Cost of Terminating Negotiations 38*

*Manipulate the Actual Costs of Delaying or Terminating Negotiations 38*

Positions Taken during Negotiation 40

*Opening Offers 40*

*Opening Stance 42*

*Initial Concessions 42*

*Role of Concessions 44*

*Pattern of Concession Making 45*

*Final Offers 46*

Closing the Deal 47

*Provide Alternatives 47*

*Assume the Close 47*

*Split the Difference 47*

*Exploding Offers 47*

*Sweeteners 48*

Hardball Tactics 48

*Dealing with Typical Hardball Tactics 48*

*Typical Hardball Tactics 49*

Chapter Summary 56

Endnotes 57

## Chapter 3

### **Strategy and Tactics of Integrative Negotiation 59**

An Overview of the Integrative Negotiation Process 59

*Creating a Free Flow of Information 60*

*Attempting to Understand the Other Negotiator's Real Needs and Objectives* 60  
*Emphasizing Things in Common between the Parties and Minimizing the Differences* 61  
*Searching for Solutions That Meet the Needs and Objectives of Both Sides* 61

Key Steps in the Integrative Negotiation Process 62

*Step 1: Identify and Define the Problem* 63  
*Step 2: Surface Interests and Needs* 66  
*Step 3: Generate Alternative Solutions* 69  
*Step 4: Evaluate and Select Alternatives* 75

Factors That Facilitate Successful Integrative Negotiation 79

*Some Common Objective or Goal* 79  
*Faith in One's Problem—Solving Ability* 80  
*A Belief in the Validity of One's Own Position and the Other's Perspective* 80  
*The Motivation and Commitment to Work Together* 81  
*Trust* 82  
*Clear and Accurate Communication* 83  
*An Understanding of the Dynamics of Integrative Negotiation* 84

Chapter Summary 85

Endnotes 85

Chapter 4

**Negotiation: Strategy and Planning 87**

Goals—The Focus That Drives a Negotiation Strategy 88

*Direct Effects of Goals on Choice of Strategy* 88  
*Indirect Effects of Goals on Choice of Strategy* 90

Strategy versus Tactics 90

*Accommodation, Competition, and Collaboration* 90

Getting Ready to Implement the Strategy: The Planning Process 93

1. *Defining the Negotiating Goal* 95
2. *Defining the Major Issue Related to Achieving the Goal* 95
3. *Assembling the Issues, Ranking Their Importance, and Defining the Bargaining Mix* 97
4. *Defining the Interests* 99
5. *Knowing Your Alternatives (BATNAs)* 99
6. *Knowing Your Limits, Including a Resistance Point* 100
7. *Analyzing and Understanding the Other Party's Goals, Issues, and Resistance Points* 100
8. *Setting One's Own Targets and Opening Bids* 102
9. *Assessing the Social Context of Negotiation* 105
10. *Presenting the Issues to the Other Party: Substance and Process* 107

Chapter Summary 111

Endnotes 111

Chapter 5

**Ethics in Negotiation 112**

A Sampling of Ethical Quandaries 112

What Do We Mean by "Ethics," and Why Do They Matter in Negotiation? 114

*Ethics Defined* 114  
*Applying Ethical Reasoning to Negotiation* 115  
*Ethics versus Prudence versus Practicality versus Legality* 115

What Questions of Ethical Conduct Arise in Negotiation? 117

*Ethically Ambiguous Tactics: It's (Mostly) All about the Truth* 118  
*Identifying Ethically Ambiguous Tactics and Attitudes toward Their Use* 120

<i>Deception by Omission versus Commission</i>	122	8. <i>The Law of Small Numbers</i>	150
<i>The Decision to Use Ethically Ambiguous Tactics: A Model</i>	123	9. <i>Self-Serving Biases</i>	151
Why Use Deceptive Tactics? Motives and Consequences	123	10. <i>Endowment Effect</i>	152
<i>The Power Motive</i>	125	11. <i>Ignoring Others' Cognitions</i>	152
<i>Other Motives to Behave Unethically</i>	125	12. <i>Reactive Devaluation</i>	152
<i>The Consequences of Unethical Conduct</i>	126	Managing Misperceptions and Cognitive Biases in Negotiation	153
<i>Explanations and Justifications</i>	128	Mood, Emotion, and Negotiation	153
How Can Negotiators Deal with the Other Party's Use of Deception?	130	Chapter Summary	159
Chapter Summary	134	Endnotes	159
Endnotes	135	 	
 		Chapter 7	
<b>Chapter 6</b>		<b>Communication</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>Perception, Cognition, and Emotion</b>	<b>136</b>	What Is Communicated during Negotiation?	162
Perception	137	1. <i>Offers and Counteroffers</i>	163
<i>Perception Defined</i>	137	2. <i>Information about Alternatives</i>	163
<i>Perceptual Distortion</i>	137	3. <i>Information about Outcomes</i>	164
Framing	139	4. <i>Social Accounts</i>	164
<i>Types of Frames</i>	140	5. <i>Communication about Process</i>	164
<i>How Frames Work in Negotiation</i>	141	<i>Are Negotiators Consistent or Adaptive?</i>	165
<i>Another Approach to Frames: Interests, Rights, and Power</i>	143	<i>Does It Matter What Is Said Early in the Negotiation?</i>	165
<i>The Frame of an Issue Changes as the Negotiation Evolves</i>	144	<i>Is More Information Always Better?</i>	165
Cognitive Biases in Negotiation	146	How People Communicate in Negotiation	166
1. <i>Irrational Escalation of Commitment</i>	146	<i>Characteristics of Language</i>	166
2. <i>Mythical Fixed-Pie Beliefs</i>	148	<i>Use of Nonverbal Communication</i>	167
3. <i>Anchoring and Adjustment</i>	148	<i>Selection of a Communication Channel</i>	169
4. <i>Issue Framing and Risk</i>	149	How to Improve Communication in Negotiation	172
5. <i>Availability of Information</i>	149	<i>The Use of Questions</i>	172
6. <i>The Winner's Curse</i>	149	<i>Listening</i>	173
7. <i>Overconfidence</i>	150	<i>Role Reversal</i>	176
		Chapter Summary	177
		Endnotes	177



Chapter 8

**Power and Influence in Negotiation 179**

Why Is Power Important to Negotiators? 179

Sources of Power—How People Acquire Power 180

*Informational Sources of Power* 183

*Power Based on Personality and Individual Differences* 183

*Power Based on Position in an Organization (Structural Power)* 185

*Power Based on Relationships* 189

*Contextual Sources of Power* 191

Dealing with Others Who Have More Power 192

Influence: Power in Action 193

*Two Routes to Influence: An Organizing Model* 194

*The Central Route to Influence: The Message and Its Delivery* 195

*Peripheral Routes to Influence* 200

*The Role of Receivers—Targets of Influence* 207

Chapter Summary 210

Endnotes 211

Chapter 9

**Relationships in Negotiation 213**

Does Our Understanding of Negotiation Apply to Negotiating in Long-Term Relationships? 213

*Negotiations in Communal Sharing Relationships* 218

Key Elements in Managing Negotiations within Relationships 218

*Reputation* 219

*Trust* 221

*Justice* 224

*Relationships among Reputation, Trust, and Justice* 227

Repairing a Relationship 227

Chapter Summary 229

Endnotes 229

Chapter 10

**Multiple Parties and Groups in Negotiations 231**

The Nature of Multiparty Negotiations 231

*Differences between Two-Party Negotiations and Multiparty Negotiations* 233

*What Dynamics Can Make a Multiparty Negotiation Effective?* 237

Managing Multiparty Negotiations 239

*The Prenegotiation Stage* 239

*The Formal Negotiation Stage—Managing the Process and Outcome* 242

*The Agreement Stage* 248

Chapter Summary 251

Endnotes 251

Chapter 11

**International and Cross-Cultural Negotiation 253**

What Makes International Negotiation Different? 255

*Environmental Context* 256

*Immediate Context* 258

Conceptualizing Culture and Negotiation 260

*Culture as Shared Values* 260

*Cultural Syndromes and Logics* 263

*Cultural Tightness—Looseness* 264

*Culture as Learned Behavior* 266

*Culture in Context* 266

The Influence of Culture on Negotiation:  
Managerial Perspectives 267

- Definition of Negotiation* 267
- Negotiation Opportunity* 267
- Selection of Negotiators* 268
- Protocol* 268
- Communication* 269
- Time Sensitivity* 269
- Risk Propensity* 270
- Groups versus Individuals* 270
- Nature of Agreements* 270
- Emotionalism* 271

The Influence of Culture on Negotiation:  
Research Perspectives 271

- Effects of Culture on Negotiation Outcomes* 271
- Effects of Culture on Negotiation Process and Information Exchange* 273
- Effects of Culture on Negotiator Cognition* 275
- Effects of Culture on Negotiator Ethics and Tactics* 276

Culturally Responsive Negotiation  
Strategies 277

- Weiss's Culturally Responsive Strategies* 278

Chapter Summary 281

Endnotes 281


Chapter 12

**Best Practices in Negotiations 285**

1. Be Prepared 285
  2. Diagnose the Fundamental Structure of the Negotiation 286
  3. Identify and Work the BATNA 287
  4. Be Willing to Walk Away 288
  5. Master the Key Paradoxes of Negotiation 288
    - Claiming Value versus Creating Value* 288
    - Sticking by Your Principles versus Being Resilient Enough to Go with the Flow* 289
    - Sticking with Your Strategy versus Opportunistically Pursuing New Options* 289
    - Being Too Honest and Open versus Being Too Closed and Opaque* 290
    - Being Too Trusting versus Being Too Distrusting* 290
  6. Remember the Intangibles 290
  7. Actively Manage Coalitions—Those Against You, For You, and Unknown 292
  8. Savor and Protect Your Reputation 292
  9. Remember That Rationality and Fairness Are Relative 293
  10. Continue to Learn from Your Experience 293
- Endnotes 294

**Bibliography 295**

**Index 324**





# The Nature of Negotiation

## Objectives

1. Understand the definition of *negotiation*, the key elements of a negotiation process, and the distinct types of negotiation.
  2. Explore how people use negotiation to manage different situations of interdependence—that is, that they depend on each other for achieving their goals.
  3. Consider how negotiation fits within the broader perspective of processes for managing conflict.
  4. Gain an overview of the organization of this book and the content of its chapters.
- 

“That’s it! I’ve had it! This car is dead!” screamed Chang Yang, pounding on the steering wheel and kicking the door shut on his 10-year-old Toysun sedan. The car had refused to start again, and Chang was going to be late for class (again)! Chang wasn’t doing well in that management class, and he couldn’t afford to miss any more classes. Recognizing that it was finally time to do something about the car, which had been having numerous mechanical problems for the last three months, Chang decided he would trade the Toysun in for another used car, one that would hopefully get him through graduation. After classes that day, he got a ride to the nearby shopping area, where there were several repair garages and used car lots. He knew almost nothing about cars and didn’t think he needed to. All he needed was reliable transportation to get him through the next 18 months.

A major international airline company is close to bankruptcy. The fear of terrorism, a number of new “budget-fare” airlines, and rising costs for fuel have all put the airline under massive economic pressure. The company seeks \$800 million in wage and benefit cuts from the pilots’ union, the third round of cuts in two years, in order to head off the bankruptcy. Rebuffed by the chief union negotiator for the pilots, the company seeks to go directly to the officers of the Air Line Pilots Association—the international union—to discuss the cuts. If the pilots do not agree to concessions, it is unlikely that other unions—flight attendants, mechanics, and so on—will agree, and bankruptcy will be inevitable.

Janet and Jocelyn are roommates. They share a one-bedroom apartment in a big city where they are both working. Janet, an accountant, has a solid job with a good company, but she has decided that it is time to go back to school to get her MBA. She has enrolled in Big City University’s evening MBA program and is now taking classes. Jocelyn works for an advertising company and is on the fast track. Her job not only requires a lot of travel but

also requires a lot of time socializing with clients. The problem is that when Janet is not in evening class, she needs the apartment to read and study and has to have quiet to get her work done. However, when Jocelyn is at the apartment, she talks a lot on the phone, brings friends home for dinner, and is either getting ready to go out for the evening or coming back very late (and noisily!). Janet has had enough of this disruption and is about to confront Jocelyn.

A country's government is in a financial crisis, created by a conflict between the newly re-elected president and the legislature. The president insists that taxes must be raised to pay for ongoing government services, particularly the taxes of the richest 1 to 2 percent of the taxpayers. In contrast, a majority of the elected legislature, whose political party favors the wealthy, insists that the president cut government spending instead! Moreover, a group of the legislators have taken a public "pledge" to not agree to *any* tax increases and fear losing their jobs in the next election if they give in on their pledge. If the crisis is not resolved in a few days, a financial doomsday is predicted.

Camila Johnson is one of the most qualified recruits this year from a top-25 ranked business school. She is delighted to have secured a second interview with a major consumer goods company, which has invited her to its headquarters city and put her up in a four-star hotel that is world-renowned for its quality facilities and service. After arriving late the night before due to flight delays, she wakes at 6:45 a.m. to get ready for a 7:30 a.m. breakfast meeting with the senior company recruiter. She steps into the shower and grabs the water control knob to turn it, and the knob falls off in her hand! There is no water in the shower and at all; apparently, repairmen started a repair job on the shower, turned the water off somewhere, and left the job unfinished. Ashley panics at the thought of how she is going to deal with this crisis and look good for her breakfast meeting in 45 minutes.

Do these incidents look and sound familiar? These are all examples of negotiation—negotiations that are about to happen, are in the process of happening, or have happened in the past and created consequences for the present. And they all serve as examples of the problems, issues, and dynamics that we will address throughout this book.

People negotiate all the time. Friends negotiate to decide where to have dinner. Children negotiate to decide which television program to watch. Businesses negotiate to purchase materials and sell their products. Lawyers negotiate to settle legal claims before they go to court. The police negotiate with terrorists to free hostages. Nations negotiate to open their borders to free trade. Negotiation is not a process reserved only for the skilled diplomat, top salesperson, or ardent advocate for an organized lobby; it is something that everyone does, almost daily. Although the stakes are not usually as dramatic as peace accords or large corporate mergers, everyone negotiates; sometimes people negotiate for major things like a new job, other times for relatively minor things like who will take out the garbage.

Negotiations occur for several reasons: (1) to agree on how to share or divide a limited resource, such as land, or money, or time; (2) to create something new that neither party could do on his or her own; or (3) to resolve a problem or dispute between the parties. Sometimes people fail to negotiate because they do not recognize that they are in a negotiation situation. By choosing options other than negotiation, they may fail to achieve their goals, get what they need, or manage their problems as smoothly as they might like to. People may also recognize the need for negotiation but do poorly because they misunderstand

the process and do not have good negotiating skills. After reading this book, we hope you will be thoroughly prepared to recognize negotiation situations; understand how negotiation works; know how to plan, implement, and complete successful negotiations; and, most importantly, be able to maximize your results.

## A Few Words about Our Style and Approach

Before we begin to dissect the complex social process known as negotiation, we need to say several things about how we will approach this subject. First, we will briefly define negotiation. Negotiation is “a form of decision making in which two or more parties talk with one another in an effort to resolve their opposing interests.”<sup>1</sup> Moreover, we will be careful about how we use terminology in this book. For most people, *bargaining* and *negotiation* mean the same thing; however, we will be quite distinctive in the way we use the two words. We will use the term *bargaining* to describe the competitive, win-lose situations such as haggling over the price of an item at a yard sale, flea market, or used car lot; we will use the term *negotiation* to refer to win-win situations such as those that occur when parties are trying to find a mutually acceptable solution to a complex conflict.

Second, many people assume that the “heart of negotiation” is the give-and-take process used to reach an agreement. While that give-and-take process is extremely important, negotiation is a very complex social process; many of the most important factors that shape a negotiation result do not occur during the negotiation; they occur *before* the parties start to negotiate, or shape the context *around* the negotiation. In the first few chapters of the book, we will examine why people negotiate, the nature of negotiation as a tool for managing conflict, and the primary give-and-take processes by which people try to reach agreement. In the remaining chapters, we examine the many ways that differences in the substantive issues, the people involved, the processes they follow, and the context in which negotiation occurs enrich the complexity of the dynamics of negotiation. We will return to a more complete overview of the book at the end of this chapter.

Third, our insights into negotiation are drawn from three sources. The first is our personal experience as negotiators ourselves and the rich number of negotiations that occur every day in our own lives and in the lives of people around the world. The second source is the media—television, radio, newspaper, magazine, and the Internet—that report on actual negotiations every day. We will use quotes and examples from the media to highlight key points, insights, and applications throughout the book. Finally, the third source is the wealth of social science research that has been conducted on numerous aspects of negotiation. This research has been conducted for almost 70 years in the fields of economics, psychology, political science, communication, labor relations, law, sociology, and anthropology. Each discipline approaches negotiation differently. Like the parable of the blind men who are attempting to describe an elephant by only touching and feeling different parts of the animal, each social science discipline has its own theory and methods for studying outputs of negotiation, and each tends to emphasize some parts and ignore others. Thus, the same negotiation events and outcome may be examined simultaneously from several different perspectives.<sup>2</sup> When standing alone, each perspective is clear but limited; combined, we begin to understand the rich and complex dynamics of this amazing animal. We draw from all these research traditions in our approach to negotiation. When we need to acknowledge

the authors of a major theory or set of research findings, we will use the standard social science research process of citing their work in the text by the author's name and the date of publication of their work; complete references for that work can be found in the bibliography at the end of the book. When we have multiple sources to cite, or anecdotal side comments to make, that information will appear in an endnote at the end of each chapter.

We began this chapter with several examples of negotiations—future, present, and past. To further develop the reader's understanding of the foundations of negotiation, we will develop a story about a husband and wife—Luis and Tracy Catalan—and a typical day in their lives. In this day, they face the challenges of many major and minor negotiations. We will then use that story to highlight three important themes:

1. The definition of negotiation and the basic characteristics of negotiation situations.
2. An understanding of *interdependence*, the relationship between people and groups that most often leads them to need to negotiate.
3. The definition and exploration of the dynamics of conflict and conflict management processes, which will serve as a backdrop for different ways that people approach and manage negotiations.

### Luis and Tracy Catalan

The day started early, as usual. Over breakfast, Tracy Carter raised the question of where she and her husband, Luis, would go for their summer vacation. She wanted to sign up for a tour of Southeast Asia being sponsored by her college's alumni association. However, two weeks on a guided tour with a lot of other people he barely knew was not what Luis had in mind. He needed to get away from people, crowds, and schedules, and he wanted to charter a sailboat and cruise the New England coast. The Catalans had not argued (yet), but it was clear they had a real problem here. Some of their friends handled problems like this by taking separate vacations. With both of them working full time, though, Luis and Tracy did agree that they would take their vacation together.

Moreover, they were still not sure whether their teenage children—Maria and Julian—would go with them. Maria really wanted to go to a gymnastics camp, and Julian wanted to stay home and do yard work in the neighborhood so he could get in shape for the football team and buy a motor bike with his earnings. Luis and Tracy couldn't afford summer camp and a major vacation, let alone deal with the problem of who would keep an eye on the children while they were away. And Tracy was already "on the record" as being opposed to the motor bike, for obvious safety reasons.

As Luis drove to work, he thought about the vacation problem. What bothered Luis most was that there did not seem to be a good way to manage the conflict productively. With some family conflicts, they could compromise, but given what each wanted this time, a simple compromise didn't seem obvious. At other times, they would flip a coin or take turns—that might work for choosing a restaurant (Luis and Julian like steak houses, Tracy and Maria prefer vegetarian), but it seemed unwise in this case because of how much money was involved and how important vacation time was to them. In addition, flipping a coin might make someone feel like a loser, an argument could start, and in the end nobody would really feel satisfied.

Walking through the parking lot, Luis met his company's purchasing manager, Ed Laine. Joe was the head of the engineering design group for MicroWatt, a manufacturer of small electric motors. Ed reminded Luis that they had to settle a problem created by the engineers in Joe's department. The engineers were contacting vendors directly rather than going through MicroWatt's purchasing department. Luis knew that purchasing wanted all contacts with a vendor to go through them, but he also knew that his engineers badly needed technical information for design purposes and that waiting for the information to come through the purchasing department slowed things considerably. Ed Laine was aware of Luis' views about this problem, and Luis thought the two of them could probably find some way to resolve it if they really sat down to work on it. Luis and Ed were also both aware that upper management expected middle managers to settle differences among themselves; if this problem "went upstairs" to senior management, it would make both of them look bad.

Shortly after reaching his desk, Luis received a telephone call from an automobile salesman with whom he had been talking about a new car. The salesman asked whether Tracy wanted to test-drive it. Luis wasn't quite sure that Tracy would go along with his choice; Luis had picked out a sporty luxury import, and he expected Tracy to say it was too expensive and not very fuel efficient. Luis was pleased with the latest offer the salesman had made on the price but thought he might still get a few more concessions out of him, so he introduced Tracy's likely reluctance about the purchase, hoping that the resistance would put pressure on the salesman to lower the price and make the deal "unbeatable."

As soon as Luis hung up the phone, it rang again. It was Tracy, calling to vent her frustration to Luis over some of the procedures at the local bank where she worked as a senior loan officer. Tracy was frustrated working for an old "family-run" bank that was not very automated, heavily bureaucratic, and slow to respond to customer needs. Competitor banks were approving certain types of loans within three hours, while Tracy's bank still took a week. Tracy had just lost landing two big new loans because of the bank's slowness and bureaucratic procedures—and the loss of the salary bonus that landing a big loan would bring. But whenever she tried to discuss the situation with the bank's senior management, she was met with resistance and a lecture on the importance of the bank's "traditional values."

Most of Luis' afternoon was taken up by the annual MicroWatt budget planning meeting. He hated these meetings. The people from the finance department came in and arbitrarily cut everyone's figures by 30 percent, and then all the managers had to argue endlessly to try to get some of their new-project money reinstated. Luis had learned to work with a lot of people, some of whom he did not like very much, but these people from finance were the most arrogant and arbitrary number crunchers imaginable. He could not understand why the top brass did not see how much harm these people were doing to the engineering group's research and development efforts. Luis considered himself a reasonable guy, but the way these people acted made him feel like he had to draw the line and fight it out for as long as it took.

In the evening, Tracy and Luis attended a meeting of their town's Conservation Commission, which, among other things, was charged with protecting the town's streams, wetlands, and nature preserves. Tracy is a member of the Conservation Commission, and Tracy and Luis both strongly believe in sound environmental protection and management. This evening's case involved a request by a real estate development firm to drain a swampy area and move a small creek into an underground pipe in order to build a new regional shopping mall. All projections showed that the new shopping mall would attract jobs and revenue to



the area and considerably increase the town's tax treasury. The new mall would keep more business in the community and discourage people from driving 15 miles to the current mall, but opponents—a coalition of local conservationists and businesspeople—were concerned that the new mall would significantly hurt the downtown business district and do major harm to the natural wetland and its wildlife. The debate raged for three hours, and finally, the commission agreed to continue the hearings the following week.

As Luis and Tracy drove home from the council meeting, they discussed the things they had been involved in that day. Each privately reflected that life is kind of strange—sometimes things go very smoothly, and other times things seem much too complicated. As they went to sleep later, they each thought about how they might have approached certain situations differently during the day and were thankful they had a relationship where they could discuss things openly with each other. But they still didn't know what they were going to do about that vacation . . . or that motor bike.

### Characteristics of a Negotiation Situation

The Luis and Tracy Catalan story highlights the variety of situations that can be handled by negotiation. Any of us might encounter one or more of these situations over the course of a few days or weeks. As we defined earlier, *negotiation* is a process by which two or more parties attempt to resolve their opposing interests. Thus, as we will point out later in this chapter, negotiation is one of several mechanisms by which people can resolve conflicts. Negotiation situations have fundamentally the same characteristics, whether they are peace negotiations between countries at war, business negotiations between buyer and seller or labor and management, or an angry guest trying to figure out how to get a hot shower before a critical interview. Those who have written extensively about negotiation argue that there are several characteristics common to all negotiation situations:<sup>3</sup>

1. There are two or more parties—that is, two or more individuals, groups, or organizations. Although people can “negotiate” with themselves—as when someone debates in her head whether to spend a Saturday afternoon studying, playing tennis, or going to a football game—we consider negotiation as a process *between* individuals, within groups, and between groups.<sup>4</sup> In the Catalan story, Luis negotiates with his wife, the purchasing manager, and the auto salesman, and Tracy negotiates with her husband, the senior management at the bank, and the Conservation Commission, among others. Both still face an upcoming negotiation with the children about the vacation . . . and that motor bike.
  2. There is a conflict of needs and desires between two or more parties—that is, what one wants is not necessarily what the other one wants—and the parties must search for a way to resolve the conflict. Luis and Tracy face negotiations over vacations, management of their children, budgets, automobiles, company procedures, and community practices for issuing building permits and preserving natural resources, among others.
  3. The parties negotiate by *choice*! That is, they negotiate because they think they can get a better deal by negotiating than by simply accepting what the other side will voluntarily give them or let them have. Negotiation is largely a voluntary process. We negotiate because we think we can improve our outcome or result, compared with not
-

“For those of you who need to haggle over the price of your sandwich, we will gladly raise the price so we can give you a discount!”

negotiating or simply accepting what the other side offers. It is a strategy pursued by choice; seldom are we required to negotiate. But there are also times to negotiate and times not to negotiate. Our experience is that most individuals in Western culture *do not negotiate enough*—that is, people assume a price or situation is nonnegotiable and don’t even bother to ask or to make a counteroffer!

4. When people negotiate, they expect a “give-and-take” process that is fundamental to their understanding of the word *negotiation*. They expect that both sides will modify or move away from their opening statements, requests, or demands. Although both parties may at first argue strenuously for what they want—each pushing the other side to move first—ultimately both sides will modify their opening position in order to reach an agreement. This movement may be toward the “middle” of their positions, called a compromise. However, truly creative negotiations may not require compromise; instead, the parties may invent a solution that meets the objectives of *all* parties. Of course, if the parties do NOT consider it a negotiation, then they don’t necessarily expect to modify their position and engage in this give-and-take (see Box 1.1).
5. The parties prefer to negotiate and search for agreement rather than to fight openly, have one side dominate and the other capitulate, permanently break off contact, or take their dispute to a higher authority to resolve it. Negotiation occurs when the parties prefer to invent their own solution for resolving the conflict, when there is no fixed or established set of rules or procedures for how to resolve the conflict, or when they choose to bypass those rules. Organizations and systems invent policies and procedures for addressing and managing those procedures. Libraries have a policy for what they should charge if a rental is kept too long. Normally, people just pay the fine. They might be able to negotiate a fee reduction, however, if they have a good excuse for why the equipment is being returned late. Similarly, attorneys negotiate or plea-bargain for their clients who would rather be assured of a negotiated settlement than take their chances with a judge and jury in the courtroom. Similarly, the courts may prefer to negotiate as well to clear the case off the docket, save money, and assure some payment of a fine, rather than risk having the defendant set free on some legal technicality. In the Catalan story, Luis pursues negotiation, rather than letting his wife decide where to spend the vacation; pressures the salesman to reduce the price of the car, rather than paying the quoted price; and argues with the finance group about the impact of the budget cuts, rather than simply accepting them without question. Sue uses negotiation to try to change the bank’s loan review procedures, rather than accepting the status quo, and she works to change the shopping mall site plan to make both conservationists and businesses happy, rather than letting others decide it or watch it go to court. But what about that motor bike . . . ?
6. Successful negotiation involves the management of *tangibles* (e.g., the price or the terms of agreement) and also the resolution of *intangibles*. Intangible factors are the

## When the Urge to Win Overwhelms Rational Decision Making

There are times when the urge to win overwhelms logic. Authors Malhotra, Ku, and Murnighan offer the example of a takeover battle between Johnson & Johnson (J&J) and Boston Scientific to buy Guidant, a medical device maker. Even though Guidant was in the middle of recalling 23,000 pacemakers and telling another 27,000 patients who had pacemakers already implanted to “consult their doctors,” the bidding war between the two buyers lead to a final price of \$27.2 billion, \$1.8 billion more than J&J’s initial bid. After the recall, Guidant shares went from \$23 to \$17 a share. *Fortune* magazine later called the acquisition “arguably the second worst ever,” only surpassed by AOL’s infamous purchase of Time Warner.

What fuels these competitive dynamics that lead to bad decisions? The authors identify several key factors:

- *Rivalry.* When parties are intensely competitive with one another, they are willing to suspend rational decision making.
- *Time pressure.* An artificial deadline, or time pressures, such as those in an auction, can push people into quick (and often erroneous) decision making.
- *The spotlight.* If audiences are watching and evaluating the actor, he is more likely to stick to his guns and escalate his investment just to look strong and tough to the audience.
- *The presence of attorneys.* The authors indicate that attorneys, who are more oriented toward “winning” and “losing” in legal battles, may pressure their clients toward winning when options for settlement may clearly be present. This perspective may be complicated by the way the attorneys are paid for their services.

The authors offer several important suggestions to reduce or eliminate the negative impact of these competitive pressures, in order to make more sound and reasoned decisions.

Source: Malhotra, Deepak K., Gillian Ku, and J. Keith Murnighan. “When Winning Is Everything,” *Harvard Business Review* 86, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 78–86.

underlying psychological motivations that may directly or indirectly influence the parties during a negotiation. Some examples of intangibles are (a) the need to “win,” beat the other party, or avoid losing to the other party; (b) the need to look “good,” “competent,” or “tough” to the people you represent; (c) the need to defend an important principle or precedent in a negotiation; (d) the need to appear “fair,” or “honorable” or to protect one’s reputation; and (e) the need to maintain a good relationship with the other party after the negotiation is over, primarily by maintaining trust and reducing uncertainty.<sup>5</sup> Intangibles are often rooted in personal values and emotions. Intangible factors can have an enormous influence on negotiation processes and outcomes; it is almost impossible to ignore intangibles because they affect our judgment about what is fair, or right, or appropriate in the resolution of the tangibles. For example, Luis may not want to make Ed Laine angry about the purchasing problem because he needs Ed’s support in the upcoming budget negotiations, but Luis also doesn’t want to look weak to his department’s engineers, who expect him to support them. Thus, for Luis, the important intangibles are preserving his relationship with Ed Laine and looking strong and “tough” to his engineers.

Intangibles become a major problem in negotiation when negotiators fail to understand how they are affecting decision making or when they dominate negotiations on the tangibles. For example, see Box 1.2 about the problems that the urge to win can create for negotiators.

In his book *Winning with Integrity*, the veteran sports agent Leigh Steinberg noted that contract negotiations between players and team owners are best approached as collaborations. Although the two sides may have goals that differ or even compete, he observed,

If it were not for the team owners, I would not have a profession. If they did not feel that they could operate at a profit, we would not have an industry. . . . We each have an interest in the success and health of the other. I need and want professional sports to survive and thrive. The various

leagues need a steady supply of quality players who are quality people. Each side has something to offer the other. Each side depends on the other.

“These are not showdowns,” Steinberg wrote. “In any industry in which repeat business is done with the same parties, there is always a balance between pushing the limit on any particular negotiation and making sure the other party—and your relationship with him—survives intact.”

*Source:* Steinberg, Leigh. *Winning with Integrity* (New York: Random House, 1998), pp. 217–18.

## Interdependence

One of the key characteristics of a negotiation situation is that the parties need each other in order to achieve their preferred objectives or outcomes. That is, either they *must* coordinate with each other to achieve their own objectives, or they *choose* to work together because the possible outcome is better than they can achieve by working on their own. When the parties depend on each other to achieve their own preferred outcome, they are *interdependent*.

Most relationships between parties may be characterized in one of three ways: independent, dependent, or interdependent. *Independent* parties are able to meet their own needs without the assistance of others. They can be relatively detached, indifferent, and uninvolved with others. *Dependent* parties must rely on others for what they need. Because they need the help, benevolence, or cooperation of the other, the dependent party must accept and accommodate that provider’s whims and idiosyncrasies. For example, if an employee is totally dependent on an employer for a job and salary, the employee will have to either do the job as instructed and accept the pay offered or go without that job. *Interdependent* parties, however, are characterized by interlocking goals—the parties need each other in order to accomplish their objectives and hence have the potential to influence each other. For instance, in a project management team, no single person could complete a complex project alone; the time limit is usually too short, and no individual has all the skills or knowledge to complete it. For the group to accomplish its goals, each person needs to rely on the other project team members to contribute their time, knowledge, and resources and to synchronize their efforts. Note that having interdependent goals does not mean that everyone wants or needs exactly the same thing. Different project team members may need different things, but they must work together for each to accomplish their goals. This mix of convergent and conflicting goals characterizes many interdependent relationships. (See Box 1.3 for a perspective on interdependence and the importance of intangibles from a famous agent who represents professional athletes in their negotiated contracts.)

### Types of Interdependence Affect Outcomes

The interdependence of people's goals, and the *structure* of the situation in which they are going to negotiate, strongly shapes negotiation processes and outcomes. When the goals of two or more people are interconnected so that only one can achieve the goal—such as running a race in which there will be only one winner—this is a competitive situation, also known as a *zero-sum* or *distributive* situation, in which “individuals are so linked together that there is a negative correlation between their goal attainments.”<sup>6</sup> Zero-sum, or distributive, situations are also present when parties are attempting to divide a limited or scarce resource, such as a pot of money. To the degree that one person achieves their goal, the other's goal attainment is blocked. In contrast, when parties' goals are linked so that one person's goal achievement helps others to achieve their goals, it is a *mutual-gains* situation, also known as a *non-zero-sum* or *integrative* situation, where there is a positive correlation between the goal attainments of both parties. If one person is a great music composer and the other is a great writer of lyrics, they can create a wonderful Broadway musical hit together. The music and words may be good separately but fantastic together. To the degree that one person achieves their goal, the other's goals are not necessarily blocked and may, in fact, be significantly enhanced. The strategy and tactics that accompany each type of situation are discussed further in the upcoming section Value Claiming and Value Creation and in Chapters 2 and 3.

### Alternatives Shape Interdependence

We noted at the beginning of this section that parties choose to work together because the possible outcome is better than what may occur if they do not work together. Evaluating interdependence therefore also depends heavily on the desirability of *alternatives* to working together. Fisher, Ury, and Patton, in their popular book *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement without Giving In*, stress that “whether you should or should not agree on something in a negotiation depends entirely upon the attractiveness to you of the best available alternative.”<sup>7</sup> They call this alternative a BATNA (an acronym for *best alternative to a negotiated agreement*) and suggest that negotiators need to understand their own BATNA and the other party's BATNA. The value of a person's BATNA is always relative to the possible settlements available in the current negotiation. A BATNA may offer independence from, dependence on, or interdependence with someone else. A student who is a month away from college graduation and has only one job offer at a salary far lower than they hoped has the choice of accepting that job offer or unemployment; there is little chance that they are going to influence the company to pay them much more than its starting offer.<sup>8</sup> A student who has two offers has a choice between two future interdependent relationships; not only do they have a choice, but they can probably use each job offer to attempt to improve the agreement by playing the employers off against each other (asking employer A to improve its offer over B, etc.). Remember that every possible interdependency has an alternative; negotiators can always say “no” and walk away, although the alternative might not be a very good one. We will further discuss the role and use of BATNAs in Chapters 2, 4, and 8.

### Mutual Adjustment

When parties are interdependent, they have to find a way to resolve their differences. Both parties can influence the other's outcomes and decisions, and their own outcomes and decisions can be influenced by the other.<sup>9</sup> This mutual adjustment continues throughout the

negotiation as both parties act to influence the other.<sup>10</sup> It is important to recognize that negotiation is a process that transforms over time, and mutual adjustment is one of the key causes of the changes that occur during a negotiation.<sup>11</sup>

Let us return to Tracy Catalan's job in the small community bank. Rather than continuing to have her loans be approved late, which means she loses the loans and doesn't qualify for bonus pay, Tracy is thinking about leaving the small bank and taking a job with Intergalactic Bank in the next city. Her prospective manager, Max, thinks Tracy is a desirable candidate for the position and is ready to offer her the job. Max and Tracy are now attempting to establish Tracy's salary. The job advertisement announced the salary as "competitive." After talking with her husband, Luis, and looking at statistics on bank loan officers' pay in the state, and considering her past experience as a loan officer, Tracy identified a salary below which she will not work (\$70,000) and hopes she might get considerably more. But because Intergalactic Bank has lots of job applicants and is a very desirable employer in the area, Tracy has decided not to state her minimally acceptable salary; she suspects that the bank will pay no more than necessary and that her minimum would be accepted quickly. Moreover, she knows that it would be difficult to raise the level if it should turn out that \$70,000 was considerably below what Max would pay. Tracy has thought of stating her ideal salary (\$80,000), but she suspects that Max will view her as either too aggressive or rude for requesting that much. Max might refuse to hire her, or even if they agreed on salary, Max would have formed an impression of Tracy as a person with an inflated sense of her own worth and capabilities.

Let's take a closer look at what is happening here. Tracy is making her decision about an opening salary request based in part on what bank loan officers are paid in the area, but also very much on how she anticipates Max will react to her negotiating tactics. Tracy recognizes that her actions will affect Max. Tracy also recognizes that the way Max acts toward her in the future will be influenced by the way her actions affect him now. As a result, Tracy is assessing the indirect impact of her behavior on herself. Further, she also knows that Max is probably alert to this and will look upon any statement by Tracy as reflecting a preliminary position on salary rather than a final one. To counter this expected view, Tracy will try to find some way to state a proposed salary that is higher than her minimum but lower than her "dream" salary offer. Tracy is choosing among opening requests with a thought not only to how they will affect Max but also to how they will lead Max to act toward Tracy. Further, if she really thought about it, Tracy might imagine that Max believes she will act in this way and makes her decision on the basis of this belief.

The reader may wonder if people really pay attention to all these layers of nuance and complexity or plot in such detail about their negotiation with others. The answer is "NO"! First, because they don't think beyond step 1—deciding what they really want—and second, if they did, they would likely be frozen into inactivity while they tried to puzzle through all the possibilities. However, engaging in this level of thinking can help anticipate the possible ways negotiations might move as the parties move, in some form of mutual adjustment, toward agreement. The effective negotiator needs to understand how people will adjust and readjust, and how the negotiations might twist and turn, based on one's own moves, the others' responses, one's own countermoves, etc.

It might seem that the best strategy for successful mutual adjustment to the other is grounded in the assumption that the more information one has about the other person, the better. There is the possibility, however, that too much knowledge only confuses.<sup>12</sup> For example, suppose Tracy knows the average salary ranges for clerical, supervisory, and